

1) NG have responded inadequately on the alignment change request between the A1060 and A414 to move TB166 and TB167 to the east and mitigate impact on a listed building and listed lane and save construction and compensation costs. NG have not providing a reasoned or substantiated explanation of their conclusion that 'the alignment requested by the respondent is not preferred either technically nor environmentally'. This appears a subjective self interested conclusion driven by adverse cost, procedural and program implication for NG as they did not engage when they should have done 2 years ago. There are constraints but none that have been shown to prevent realignment even between TB165 and TB168 so just moving 166 and 167 within the respondent's ownership.

2) No response or explanation has been provided to justify building over ground in the green belt.